

Classical Monetary Models

Jordi Galí

May 2011

Assumptions

- Perfect competition in goods and labor markets
- Flexible prices and wages
- No capital accumulation
- No fiscal sector
- Closed economy

Outline

- The problem of households and firms
- Equilibrium: monetary policy neutrality
- Monetary policy and the determination of nominal variables

Households

Representative household solves

$$\max E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t U(C_t, N_t) \quad (1)$$

subject to

$$P_t C_t + Q_t B_t \leq B_{t-1} + W_t N_t + D_t \quad (2)$$

for $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ plus a solvency constraint.

Optimality conditions

$$-\frac{U_{n,t}}{U_{c,t}} = \frac{W_t}{P_t} \quad (3)$$

$$Q_t = \beta E_t \left\{ \frac{U_{c,t+1}}{U_{c,t}} \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} \right\} \quad (4)$$

Specification of utility:

$$U(C_t, N_t) = \frac{C_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} - \frac{N_t^{1+\varphi}}{1+\varphi}$$

implied optimality conditions:

$$\frac{W_t}{P_t} = C_t^\sigma N_t^\varphi \quad (5)$$

$$Q_t = \beta E_t \left\{ \left(\frac{C_{t+1}}{C_t} \right)^{-\sigma} \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} \right\} \quad (6)$$

Log-linear versions

$$w_t - p_t = \sigma c_t + \varphi n_t \quad (7)$$

$$c_t = E_t\{c_{t+1}\} - \frac{1}{\sigma}(i_t - E_t\{\pi_{t+1}\} - \rho) \quad (8)$$

where $i_t \equiv -\log Q_t$ and $\rho \equiv -\log \beta$ (interpretation)

Perfect foresight steady state (with zero growth):

$$i = \pi + \rho$$

hence implying a real rate

$$r \equiv i - \pi = \rho$$

Ad-hoc money demand

$$m_t - p_t = y_t - \eta i_t$$

Firms

Representative firm with technology

$$Y_t = A_t N_t^{1-\alpha} \quad (9)$$

Profit maximization:

$$\max P_t Y_t - W_t N_t$$

subject to (9), taking the price and wage as given (perfect competition)

Optimality condition:

$$\frac{W_t}{P_t} = (1 - \alpha) A_t N_t^{-\alpha}$$

In log-linear terms

$$w_t - p_t = a_t - \alpha n_t + \log(1 - \alpha) \quad (10)$$

Equilibrium

Goods market clearing

$$y_t = c_t \quad (11)$$

Labor market clearing

$$\sigma c_t + \varphi n_t = a_t - \alpha n_t + \log(1 - \alpha)$$

Asset market clearing:

$$B_t = 0$$
$$y_t = E_t\{y_{t+1}\} - \frac{1}{\sigma}(i_t - E_t\{\pi_{t+1}\} - \rho)$$

Aggregate production relation:

$$y_t = a_t + (1 - \alpha)n_t$$

Implied equilibrium values for real variables

$$n_t = \psi_{na} a_t + \vartheta_n$$

$$y_t = \psi_{ya} a_t + \vartheta_y$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_t &\equiv i_t - E_t\{\pi_{t+1}\} \\ &= \rho + \sigma E_t\{\Delta y_{t+1}\} = \rho + \sigma \psi_{ya} E_t\{\Delta a_{t+1}\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_t &\equiv w_t - p_t = y_t - n_t + \log(1 - \alpha) \\ &= \psi_{\omega a} a_t + \log(1 - \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

where $\psi_{na} \equiv \frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma+\varphi+\alpha(1-\sigma)}$; $\vartheta_n \equiv \frac{\log(1-\alpha)}{\sigma+\varphi+\alpha(1-\sigma)}$; $\psi_{ya} \equiv \frac{1+\varphi}{\sigma+\varphi+\alpha(1-\sigma)}$

$$\vartheta_y \equiv (1 - \alpha)\vartheta_n \quad ; \quad \psi_{\omega a} \equiv \frac{\sigma+\varphi}{\sigma+\varphi+\alpha(1-\sigma)}$$

\implies *neutrality*: real variables determined *independently of monetary policy*

\implies *optimal policy*: undetermined.

\implies specification of monetary policy needed to determine nominal variables

Monetary Policy and Price Level Determination

Example I: An Exogenous Path for the Nominal Interest Rate

Exogenous stationary process $\{i_t\}$ with mean ρ

$$E_t\{\pi_{t+1}\} = i_t - r_t$$

where $\{r_t\}$ is determined independently of $\{i_t\}$

Any path for the price level which satisfies

$$p_{t+1} = p_t + i_t - r_t + \xi_{t+1}$$

where $E_t\{\xi_{t+1}\} = 0$ for all t is consistent with equilibrium.

Implied path for the money supply:

$$m_t = p_t + y_t - \eta i_t$$

and hence it inherits the indeterminacy of p_t .

Example II: A Simple Interest Rate Rule

$$i_t = \rho + \phi_\pi \pi_t$$

Combined with the definition of the real rate:

$$\phi_\pi \pi_t = E_t\{\pi_{t+1}\} + \widehat{r}_t$$

If $\phi_\pi > 1$, unique stationary solution:

$$\pi_t = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1/\phi_\pi)^{k+1} E_t\{\widehat{r}_{t+k}\}$$

If $\phi_\pi < 1$, any process π_t satisfying

$$\pi_{t+1} = \phi_\pi \pi_t - \widehat{r}_t + \xi_{t+1}$$

where $E_t\{\xi_{t+1}\} = 0$ for all t is consistent with a stationary equilibrium

\implies *price level indeterminacy*

\implies illustration of the "Taylor principle" requirement

Example III: An Exogenous Path for the Money Supply $\{m_t\}$

Combining money demand and Fisherian equations:

$$p_t = \left(\frac{\eta}{1 + \eta} \right) E_t \{ p_{t+1} \} + \left(\frac{1}{1 + \eta} \right) m_t + u_t$$

where $u_t \equiv (1 + \eta)^{-1}(\eta r_t - y_t)$ evolves independently of $\{m_t\}$.

Solving forward:

$$p_t = m_t + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\eta}{1 + \eta} \right)^k E_t \{ \Delta m_{t+k} \} + u_t''$$

Implied nominal interest rate:

$$\begin{aligned} i_t &= \eta^{-1}(y_t - (m_t - p_t)) \\ &= \eta^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\eta}{1 + \eta} \right)^k E_t \{ \Delta m_{t+k} \} + v_t \end{aligned}$$

where $v_t \equiv \eta^{-1}(u_t'' + y_t)$. is independent of monetary policy.

Example

$$\Delta m_t = \rho_m \Delta m_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t^m$$

Assume no real shocks ($y_t = 0$).

Price response:

$$p_t = m_t + \frac{\eta \rho_m}{1 + \eta(1 - \rho_m)} \Delta m_t$$

\implies large price response

Nominal interest rate response:

$$i_t = \frac{\rho_m}{1 + \eta(1 - \rho_m)} \Delta m_t$$

\implies *no liquidity effect*

A Model with Money in the Utility Function

Preferences

$$E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t U \left(C_t, \frac{M_t}{P_t}, N_t \right)$$

Budget constraint

$$P_t C_t + Q_t B_t + M_t \leq B_{t-1} + M_{t-1} + W_t N_t + D_t$$

Letting $\mathcal{A}_t \equiv B_{t-1} + M_{t-1}$:

$$P_t C_t + Q_t \mathcal{A}_{t+1} + (1 - Q_t) M_t \leq \mathcal{A}_t + W_t N_t + D_t$$

Interpretation: $(1 - Q_t) = 1 - \exp\{-i_t\} \simeq i_t$

\implies opportunity cost of holding money

Optimality Conditions

$$-\frac{U_{n,t}}{U_{c,t}} = \frac{W_t}{P_t}$$

$$Q_t = \beta E_t \left\{ \frac{U_{c,t+1}}{U_{c,t}} \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} \right\}$$

$$\frac{U_{m,t}}{U_{c,t}} = 1 - \exp\{-i_t\}$$

where marginal utilities evaluated at $\left(C_t, \frac{M_t}{P_t}, N_t\right)$

Two cases:

- utility separable in real balances \implies neutrality
- utility non-separable in real balances \implies non-neutrality

Utility specification:

$$U \left(C_t, \frac{M_t}{P_t}, N_t \right) = \frac{X(C_t, M_t/P_t)^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} - \frac{N_t^{1+\varphi}}{1+\varphi}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} X(C_t, M_t/P_t) &\equiv \left[(1 - \vartheta)C_t^{1-\nu} + \vartheta \left(\frac{M_t}{P_t} \right)^{1-\nu} \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\nu}} \quad \text{for } \nu \neq 1 \\ &\equiv C_t^{1-\vartheta} \left(\frac{M_t}{P_t} \right)^{\vartheta} \quad \text{for } \nu = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} U_{c,t} &= (1 - \vartheta)X_t^{\nu-\sigma} C_t^{-\nu} \\ U_{m,t} &= \vartheta X_t^{\nu-\sigma} (M_t/P_t)^{-\nu} \\ U_{n,t} &= -N_t^{\varphi} \end{aligned}$$

Revised optimality conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}W_t/P_t &= N_t^\varphi X_t^{\sigma-\nu} C_t^\nu (1-\vartheta)^{-1} \\Q_t &= \beta E_t \left\{ (C_{t+1}/C_t)^{-\nu} (X_{t+1}/X_t)^{\nu-\sigma} (P_t/P_{t+1}) \right\} \\M_t/P_t &= C_t (1 - \exp\{-i_t\})^{-\frac{1}{\nu}} (\vartheta/(1-\vartheta))^{\frac{1}{\nu}}\end{aligned}$$

Log-linearized money demand equation:

$$m_t - p_t = c_t - \eta i_t$$

where $\eta = 1/[\nu(\exp\{i\} - 1)]$

Log-linearized labor supply equation

$$w_t - p_t = \sigma c_t + \varphi n_t + \omega i_t$$

where $\omega \equiv \frac{k_m \beta (1 - \frac{\sigma}{\nu})}{1 + k_m (1 - \beta)}$ and $k_m \equiv \frac{M/P}{C}$

Discussion

Simulation in Walsh

- Policy Rule: $\Delta m_t = \rho_m \Delta m_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t^m$

- Calibration:

$$\rho_m = 0.75$$

$$\vartheta = 0.01$$

$$\nu = 3$$

$$\sigma = 2 \quad \implies \quad U_{cm} > 0$$

- Effects of exogenous monetary policy shock (Figs.)




Figure 2.3
 Response of output and employment to a positive money growth shock.

Source: Walsh (2010)




Figure 2.4
Response of the nominal interest rate to a positive money growth shock.

Optimal Monetary Policy in a Classical Economy with Money in the Utility Function

Social Planner's problem

$$\max U \left(C_t, \frac{M_t}{P_t}, N_t \right)$$

subject to

$$C_t = A_t N_t^{1-\alpha}$$

Optimality conditions:

$$-\frac{U_{n,t}}{U_{c,t}} = (1 - \alpha) A_t N_t^{-\alpha} \quad (12)$$

$$U_{m,t} = 0 \quad (13)$$

Optimal policy (Friedman rule): $i_t = 0$ for all t .

Intuition

Implied average inflation: $\pi = -\rho < 0$

Implementation

$$i_t = \phi(r_{t-1} + \pi_t)$$

for some $\phi > 1$. Combined with the definition of the real rate:

$$E_t\{i_{t+1}\} = \phi i_t$$

whose only stationary solution is $i_t = 0$ for all t .

Implied equilibrium inflation:

$$\pi_t = -r_{t-1}$$